DIMACS Technical Report 2006-23

Note on regular spanning subgraphs of bipartite graphs of high minimum degree

by

Béla Csaba[1] [,2]
Bolyai Institute
University of Szeged
Szeged, Hungary 6720

[1]Part of this research was done while the author was visiting the Department of Computer Science, Rutgers University
[2]Partially supported by OTKA T049398 and by Hungarian State Eötvös Fellowship.

DIMACS is a collaborative project of Rutgers University, Princeton University, AT&T Labs–Research, Bell Labs, NEC Laboratories America and Telcordia Technologies, as well as affiliate members Avaya Labs, HP Labs, IBM Research, Microsoft Research, Stevens Institute of Technology, Georgia Institute of Technology and Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute. DIMACS was founded as an NSF Science and Technology Center.

ABSTRACT

Let G be a simple balanced bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices, $\delta = \delta(G)/n$, and $\rho = \frac{\delta+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}$. If $\delta > 1/2$ then it has a ρn-regular spanning subgraph. The statement is tight.

1 Introduction

In this paper we will consider simple graphs. We mostly use standard graph theory notation: $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ will denote the vertex and the edge set of a graph G, respectively. The degree of $x \in V(G)$ is denoted by $deg_G(x)$ (we may omit the subscript), $\delta(G)$ is the minimum degree of G. We call a bipartite graph $G(A, B)$ with color classes A and B *balanced* if $|A| = |B|$. For $X, Y \subset V(G)$ we denote the number of edges of G having one endpoint in X and the other endpoint in Y by $e(X, Y)$. $N_G(x)$ is the set of neighbors of $x \in V(G)$ and $N_G(x, X)$ is the set of neighbors of x in X. For a set $S \subset V(G)$ let $N(S) = \cup_{x \in S} N(x)$. If $T \subset V(G)$ then $G|_T$ denotes the subgraph we get after deleting every vertex of $V - T$ and the edges incident to them. Finally, $K_{r,s}$ is the complete bipartite graph on color classes of size r and s for two positive integers r and s.

The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1 *Let $G(A, B)$ be a balanced bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices, and assume that $\delta = \delta(G)/n > 1/2$. Then*
(I) G has a ρn–regular spanning subgraph, with $\rho = \frac{\delta + \sqrt{2\delta - 1}}{2}$;
(II) moreover, for every $\delta > 1/2$ and n large enough there exist a balanced bipartite graph F_δ such that it does not admit a spanning regular subgraph of degree larger than ρn.

The above result plays a crucial role in the proof of some results in extremal graph theory, see [1, 2].

2 Factors of bipartite graphs

Let H be a bipartite graph with color classes A and B. By the well-known König–Hall theorem there is a perfect matching in H if and only if $|N(S)| \geq |S|$ for every $S \subset A$. We are going to need a generalization of the this result, this appeared in a paper of Tutte [4].

If $f : V(H) \to \mathrm{Z}^+$ is a function, then an f-factor is a subgraph H' of H such that $deg_{H'}(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in V(H)$. Notice, that when $f \equiv r$ for some $r \in \mathrm{Z}^+$, then H' is an r-regular subgraph of H. The result below gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an f-factor:

Proposition 2 *Let H be a bipartite graph with bipartition $\{A, B\}$, and $f(x) \geq 0$ an integer valued function on $A \cup B$. H has an f–factor if and only if*

$$(i) \sum_{x \in A} f(x) = \sum_{y \in B} f(y)$$

and

$$(ii) \sum_{x \in X} f(x) \leq e(X, Y) + \sum_{y \in B - Y} f(y)$$

for all $X \subset A$ and $Y \subset B$.

One can find the proof in [3] as well.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

We will show the two parts of the theorem in separate subsections.

3.1 Proof of part *I*

Observe, that since we are looking for a spanning regular subgraph, the f function of Proposition 2 will be identically ρn. We start with some notation. For $X \subset A$ let $\xi = |X|/n$, and for $Y \subset B$ let $\sigma = |Y|/n$. We will normalize $e(X,Y)$: $\eta(X,Y) = e(X,Y)/n^2$. Let

$$\eta_m(\xi,\sigma) = \min\{\eta(X,Y) : X \subset A,\ Y \subset B,\ |X|/n = \xi, |Y|/n = \sigma\}.$$

Since f is identically ρn, condition (i) of Proposition 2 is satisfied. If $\rho(\xi+\sigma-1) \leq \eta_m(\xi,\sigma)$ for some ρ and for every $0 \leq \xi, \sigma \leq 1$, then (ii) is satisfied, hence, G has a ρn–regular spanning subgraph.

Clearly, $e(X,Y) \geq |X|(\delta n - |B-Y|)$ and $e(X,Y) \geq |Y|(\delta n - |A-X|)$ for arbitrary sets $X \subset A$ and $Y \subset B$. Hence, we have that $\eta_m(\xi,\sigma) \geq \max(\xi(\delta+\sigma-1), \sigma(\delta+\xi-1))$.

First consider the case $\xi = \sigma$. We are looking for a ρ for which $\rho(2\xi-1) \leq \xi(\delta+\xi-1)$. In another form, we need that

$$p_\rho(\xi) = \xi^2 + (\delta - 2\rho - 1)\xi + \rho \geq 0.$$

The discriminant of the above polynomial is the polynomial $dcr(\rho) = 4\rho^2 - 4\delta\rho + \delta^2 - 2\delta + 1$. One can directly find the roots of $dcr(\rho)$: $\frac{\delta \pm \sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}$. Let $\rho = (\delta + \sqrt{2\delta-1})/2$, then we have that $p_\rho(\xi) \geq 0$.

Let $g(\xi,\sigma) = \sigma(\delta+\xi-1) - \rho(\xi+\sigma-1)$. We will show, that $g(\xi,\sigma) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq \sigma \leq \xi \leq 1$. Notice, that g is bounded in the triangle above, $-2 \leq g(\xi,\sigma) \leq \eta_m(\xi,\sigma) - \rho(\xi+\sigma-1)$, and continously differentiable.

Let us check the sign of g on the border of the triangle. Since $\rho = (\delta + \sqrt{2\delta-1})/2$, we have that $g(\xi,\xi) \geq 0$. $g(\xi,0) = -\rho(\xi-1) \geq 0$, and $g(1,\sigma) = \sigma(\delta-\rho) \geq 0$, because $\delta \geq (\delta + \sqrt{2\delta-1})/2$. Let us check the partial derivatives of g:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi} = \sigma - \rho,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma} = \delta + \xi - 1 - \rho.$$

Assuming that g achieves its minimum inside the triangle at the point (ξ_0,σ_0) the partial derivatives of g have to diminish at (ξ_0,σ_0). It would then follow that $\sigma_0 = \rho$ and $\xi_0 = 1+\rho-\delta$, therefore, $g(\xi_0,\sigma_0) = \rho^2 - \rho(2\rho-\delta) = \delta\rho - \rho^2$. That is, g is non-negative in the whole closed triangle. The same reasoning works for the triangle $0 \leq \xi \leq \sigma \leq 1$, this follows easily by symmetry.

3.2 Proof of part II

For proving part II of the theorem we construct a graph F for a given $\delta > 1/2$: $F(A, B)$ is a balanced bipartite graph on $2n$ vertices such that $A = A_e \cup A_l$, $B = B_e \cup B_l$, and $B_e \cap B_l = A_e \cap A_l = \emptyset$. We have that $|A_l| = |B_l| = \gamma n$ and $|A_e| = |B_e| = (1-\gamma)n$, where $\gamma = \frac{1-\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}$. We assume that $e(A_l, B_l) = 0$, and that the subgraphs $F|_{A_l \cup B_e}$ and $F|_{B_l \cup A_e}$ are isomorphic to $K_{\gamma n,(1-\gamma)n}$, therefore, every vertex in $A_l \cup B_l$ has degree $(1-\gamma)n$. Every vertex in $A_e \cup B_e$ has degree δn, hence, $F|_{A_e \cup B_e}$ is a $(\delta-\gamma)n$-regular graph. Observe, that $\gamma < \delta < 1-\gamma$, thus, $\delta(F) = \delta$.

First we investigate a simple method for edge removal from F: for $0 < p < 1$ discard $p(1-\gamma)n$ incident edges for every vertex in $A_l \cup B_l$, and no edge from $F|_{A_e \cup B_e}$. Then a vertex in $A_l \cup B_l$ will have degree $(1-p)(1-\gamma)n$, and the average degree of the vertices in $A_e \cup B_e$ will be $\gamma(1-p)n + (\delta-\gamma)n$. Choose p_0 to be the solution of the following equation:

$$(1-p)(1-\gamma)n = \gamma(1-p)n + (\delta-\gamma)n. \qquad (1)$$

Notice, that if $p < p_0$ then there is a vertex $x \in A_e \cup B_e$ such that every vertex of $A_l \cup B_l$ will have degree larger than $deg(x)$. That is, for finding a regular subgraph more edges have to be discarded among those which are incident to the vertices of $A_l \cup B_l$. On the other hand, if $p > p_0$ then there will be a vertex $y \in A_e \cup B_e$ which has degree larger than that of the vertices in $A_l \cup B_l$. Hence, edges incident to the vertices of $A_e \cup B_e$ have to be discarded, otherwise the resulting subgraph is not regular.

It is clear that if we look for a spanning regular subgraph of F we have to discard edges incident to the vertices of $A_l \cup B_l$. We have just learned that if $p \neq p_0$ then we cannot stop, more edges have to be removed.

For finishing the proof we prove that by choosing $p = p_0$ and performing the above edge removal process every vertex of $A_l \cup B_l$ will have degree $\frac{\delta+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}n$ and the average degree of the vertices in $A_e \cup B_e$ will be this number, too. Since part I of the theorem shows that F has a spanning regular subgraph of this degree, we are done - a carefully performed edge removal will result in a spanning subgraph in which every vertex has degree $\frac{\delta+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}n$.

It is easy to see that $p_0 = \frac{\delta+\gamma-1}{2\gamma-1}$ is the solution of (1). Then the degree of an arbitrary vertex in $A_l \cup B_l$ is

$$(1-p_0)(1-\gamma)n = \left(1 - \frac{\delta+\gamma-1}{2\gamma-1}\right)(1-\gamma) = \frac{\gamma-\delta}{2\gamma-1}(1-\gamma).$$

Substituting $\gamma = \frac{1-\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}$ we get

$$\frac{\delta - \frac{1-\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}}{\sqrt{2\delta-1}}\left(1 - \frac{1-\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}\right) = \frac{2\delta-1+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{\sqrt{2\delta-1}}\frac{1+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2} =$$

$$\frac{1+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2}\frac{1+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2} = \frac{\delta+\sqrt{2\delta-1}}{2},$$

and this is what we promised to show.

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank Péter Hajnal for the helpful conversations.

References

[1] B. Csaba, M. Mydlarz, *Approximate multipartite version of the Hajnal–Szemerédi theorem*, submitted.

[2] B. Csaba, *Approximating the Bollobás–Eldridge conjecture for bounded degree graphs*, manuscript.

[3] L. Lovász, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1993.

[4] W. T. Tutte, *Graph factors*, Combinatorica (1981) no. 1., 79–97.