DIMACS Technical Report 2001-46
December 2001

Antipodal graphs of small diameter

by

Dragan Stevanović[1,2,3]
DIMACS Center
Rutgers University
Piscataway, New Jersey 08854-8018, USA

[1]Supported in part by Special Focus on Data Analysis and Mining under grant NSF CCR 00 87022
[2]On leave from Faculty of Sciences, University of Niš, Yugoslavia
[3]dragance@pmf.pmf.ni.ac.yu

DIMACS is a partnership of Rutgers University, Princeton University, AT&T Labs-Research, Bell Labs, NEC Research Institute and Telcordia Technologies (formerly Bellcore).

DIMACS was founded as an NSF Science and Technology Center, and also receives support from the New Jersey Commission on Science and Technology.

ABSTRACT

A proper metric space $X = (X, d)$ is called *antipodal* if – with $[x, y] = \{z \in X : d(x, y) = d(x, z)+d(z, y)\}$ – for every $x \in X$ there exists some $y \in X$ such that $[x, y] = X$. A connected undirected finite graph G is called *antipodal* if its associated graph metric is antipodal.

Here we characterize antipodal graphs of diameter 3 and show that almost every graph is an induced subgraph of some antipodal graph of diameter 3.

1 Introduction

A proper metric space $X = (X, d)$ is called *antipodal* if – with $[x, y] = \{z \in X : d(x, y) = d(x, z)+d(z, y)\}$ – for every $x \in X$ there exists some $y \in X$ such that $[x, y] = X$. A connected undirected finite graph G is called *antipodal* if its associated graph metric is antipodal.

The only antipodal graphs of diameter 2 are Cocktail-party graphs. Namely, if G is an antipodal graph of diameter 2 with a mapping $*: V(G) \mapsto V(G)$ such that $[u, u^*] = V(G)$ for each $u \in V(G)$, then each vertex of G is adjacent to both u and u^*, while u and u^* are not adjacent. Since $(u^*)^* = u$, the vertices of G are partitioned into pairs (u, u^*) such that the vertices from different pairs are adjacent, while the vertices from the same pair are not adjacent. This shows that G is a Cocktail-party graph.

In [1] it is shown that a locally connected antipodal graph of diameter 3 is either the Johnson graph $J(6, 3)$, the halved 6-cube or the Gosset graph.

Our goal here is to give a full characterization of antipodal graphs of dimaeter 3. In order to do this, we first devise a new unary graph operation $P(G)$ and then show that each antipodal graph of diameter 3 is isomorphic to $P(G)$ for some graph G. Since this operation is defined in such a way that G is an induced subgraph of $P(G)$, we will then show that almost every graph is an induced subgraph of an antipodal graph of diameter 3.

2 Antipodal graphs of diameter 3

For an arbitrary vertex u of a graph G, the set of vertices of G adjacent to u is called the *open neighbourhood* of u and denoted $N_G(u)$. The set $N_G[u] = \{u\} \cup N_G(u)$ is the *closed neighborhood* of u in G. If there are no conflicts, we omit the index G and write $N(u)$ for $N_G(u)$ and $N[u]$ for $N_G[u]$. The graph G is *semi-cannonical* if for each vertex u of G it holds that $N[u] \neq V(G)$ and for each pair of vertices u and v of G it holds that $N[u] \neq N[v]$.

We first prove a handy lemma.

Lemma 1 *Let G be an antipodal graph with the mapping $*: V(G) \mapsto V(G)$ such that $[u, u^*] = V(G)$ for each $u \in V(G)$. The mapping $*$ is the isomorphism of G.*

Proof The mapping $*$ is the bijection of G, since $(u^*)^* = u$. Let D be the diameter of G. For arbitrary vertices u, v of G, from $u \in [v, v^*]$ and $v^* \in [u, u^*]$, it follows that

$$d(v, u) + d(u, v^*) = D = d(u, v^*) + d(v^*, u^*).$$

From here we see that $d(u^*, v^*) = D - d(u, v^*) = d(u, v)$. Therefore, vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if vertices u^* and v^* are adjacent. ■

Next we describe new unary graph operation $P(G)$. Let G be an arbitrary graph and let G^* be isomorphic to G. Further for each $u \in V(G)$ let u^* be the corresponding vertex of G^* under some fixed isomorphism between G and G^*, and let a and a^* be two new vertices

which do not belong to either G or G^*. The graph $P(G)$ is then constructed in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} V(P(G)) &= V(G) \cup V(G^*) \cup \{a, a^*\}, \\ E(P(G)) &= E(G) \cup E(G^*) \\ &\quad \cup \{(a,u) \,|\, u \in V(G)\} \cup \{(a^*,u^*) \,|\, u^* \in V(G^*)\} \\ &\quad \cup \{(u,v^*) \,|\, u \in V(G),\ v^* \in V(G^*),\ (u,v) \notin E(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We illustrate this construction in Fig. 1 on cycle C_4.



Figure 1: The construction of $P(C_4)$ for cycle C_4.

Our main goal is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *The graph H is antipodal with diameter 3 if and only if there exists semi-cannonical graph G such that $H \cong P(G)$.* ■

The proof of this theorem directly follows from the following two theorems.

Theorem 3 *If G is semi-cannonical, the graph $P(G)$ is antipodal with diameter 3.*

Proof First, we prove that $[a, a^*] = V(P(G))$. The distance between a and a^* is at least 3, since they are not adjacent and have no common neighbor.

Since G is semi-cannonical, for each vertex u of G there exists vertex v of G that is not adjacent to u in G. Then u and v^* are adjacent in $P(G)$, and a, u, v^*, a^* is the path of length 3 in $P(G)$. Also u^* and v are adjacent in $P(G)$, and a, v, u^*, a^* is another path of length 3 in $P(G)$. This shows that $u, u^* \in [a, a^*]$ and we conclude that $[a, a^*] = V(P(G))$.

Now we show that for each $u \in V(G)$, it holds that $[u, u^*] = V(P(G))$. The distance between u and u^* is at least 3, since they are not adjacent and have no common neighbor.

Let v be arbitrary vertex of G. If u and v are not adjacent in G, then u, a, v, u^* and u, v^*, a^*, u^* are paths of length 3 in $P(G)$ showing that $v, v^* \in [u, u^*]$. If u and v are adjacent

in G, then $N[u] \neq N[v]$. If $N[u] \setminus N[v] \neq \emptyset$, then there exists vertex w of G adjacent to u and not adjacent to v. The paths u, v, w^*, u^* and u, w, v^*, u^* have length 3 in $P(G)$. If $N[v] \setminus N[u] \neq \emptyset$, then there exists vertex w of G adjacent to v and not adjacent to u. In this case, the paths u, v, w, u^* and u, w^*, v^*, u^* have length 3 in $P(G)$. In any case, we see that $v, v^* \in [u, u^*]$.

From above we see that the distance between a and a^*, and between u and u^* for each vertex u of G is equal to 3, thus showing that $P(G)$ is antipodal graph with diameter 3. ■

Theorem 4 *Let H be an antipodal graph with diameter* 3*. Then there exists semi-cannonical graph G such that $H \cong P(G)$.*

Proof Let $*\colon V(H) \mapsto V(H)$ be the mapping such that for each $u \in V(H)$ it holds that $[u, u^*] = V(H)$. Fix the vertex a of H and let $N(a)$ denote also a subgraph of H induced by an open neighbourhood of a in H. It will be obvious from the context whether $N(a)$ denotes the open neighbourhood or the graph induced by it. We show that $H \cong P(N(a))$.

Since H has diameter 3, each vertex u of H is adjacent either to a or a^*.

Let u and v be arbitrary vertices adjacent to a. Then u^* and v^* are adjacent to a^*. Since the mapping $*$ is isomorphism of H, vertices u and v are adjacent if and only if u^* and v^* are adjacent. This shows that $N(a)$ and $N(a^*)$ are isomorphic graphs.

Further, u is adjacent to exactly one of v and v^*, which finally shows that $H \cong P(N(a))$.

It remains to show that $N(a)$ is semi-cannonical. Let u be an arbitrary vertex of $N(a)$. Since H is antipodal with diameter 3, we have that $u \in [a, a^*]$ and there exists a vertex w in H such that a, u, w, a^* is a path of length 3 in H. The vertex w is adjacent to u and not adjacent to a. Then w^* is not adjacent to u and it is adjacent to a, showing that $N_{N(a)}[u] \neq N(a)$.

Let u, v be a pair of adjacent vertices in $N(a)$ (and also in H). Since H is antipodal with diameter 3, we have that $v \in [u, u^*]$ and there exists a vertex w in H such that u, v, w, u^* is a path of length 3 in H. The vertex w is not equal to either a or a^*. If w belongs to $N(a)$, then $w \in N_{N(a)}[v]$ and $w \notin N_{N(a)}[u]$. If w belongs to $N(a^*)$, then $w^* \in N_{N(a)}[u]$ and $w^* \notin N_{N(a)}[v]$. In any case, $N_{N(a)}[u] \neq N_{N(a)}[v]$, showing that $N(a)$ is semi-cannonical. ■

3 Induced subgraphs of antipodal graphs

In this section we show that almost every graph is semi-cannonical. Since every graph G is an induced subgraph of $P(G)$, in view of Theorem 2 this means that almost every graph G is an induced subgraph of an antipodal graph of diameter 3—namely, of $P(G)$.

Theorem 5 *Almost every graph is semi-cannonical.*

Proof Let U_n denote the number of all nonisomorphic unlabelled graphs on n vertices, and let S_n denote the number of all nonisomorphic unlabelled semi-cannonical graphs on

n vertices. The number of all nonisomorphic unlabelled graphs that are not semi-cannonical is then equal to $U_n - S_n$.

Let G be an arbitrary graph on $n-1$ vertices and construct n graphs G' and G^u for each $u \in V(G)$ in the following way:

- the graph G' is obtained by adding a new vertex adjacent to all vertices of G;
- for each $u \in V(G)$ the graph G^u is obtained by adding a new vertex adjacent to vertices of $N_G[u]$.

If a graph H on n vertices is not semi-cannonical, then either there exists a vertex u such that $N_H[u] = V(H)$ (and then $H \cong (H-u)'$) or there exist two vertices u and v such that $N_H[u] = N_H[v]$ (and then $H \cong (H-v)^u$). Since some graphs on n vertices that are not semi-cannonical may be obtained more than once in this way from a graph with $n-1$ vertices, we have that $U_n - S_n \leq nU_{n-1}$.

Therefore we have that

$$\frac{S_n}{U_n} \geq 1 - \frac{nU_{n-1}}{U_n},$$

and since it holds that (e.g. see [2])

$$U_n = (1 + o(n))\frac{2^{\binom{n}{2}}}{n!}, \tag{1}$$

we easily get that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{S_n}{U_n} = 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

Corollary 6 *Almost every graph is an induced subgraph of an antipodal graph of diameter* 3.

Proof Almost every graph G is semi-cannonical, and for such G graph $P(G)$ is antipodal graph of diameter 3 and contains G as an induced subgraph (as a matter of fact, $P(G)$ contains two copies of G as induced subgraphs). $\blacksquare$

Acknowledgement The author wishes to thank Prof. Brendan McKay for pointing to the approximation result (1) on the number of unlabelled graphs with n vertices.

References

[1] J. Koolen, V. Moulton, D. Stevanović, *Spherical graphs*, in preparation

[2] W. Oberschelp, *Kombinatorische Anzahlbestimmungen in Relationen* (German), Math. Ann. 174 (1967), 53–78