DIMACS Technical Report 99-18
April 1999

On Lower Bound of the Number of Channels for Deadlock-Free Whormhole Routing

by

Li Sheng
Dept. of Mathematics and Computer Science
Drexel University
Philadelphia, PA 19104

Jie Wu
Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering
Florida Atlantic University
Boca Raton, FL 33431

DIMACS is a partnership of Rutgers University, Princeton University, AT&T Labs-Research, Bell Labs, Bellcore and NEC Research Institute.

DIMACS is an NSF Science and Technology Center, funded under contract STC–91–19999; and also receives support from the New Jersey Commission on Science and Technology.

ABSTRACT

In [1], Libeskind-Hadas recently provided a tight lower bound on the number of channels required by a broad class of deadlock-free wormhole routing algorithm. Given an interconnection network represented by a digraph $G = (N, C)$, where each vertex in N represents a node and each directed link in C represents a unidirectional channel, Libeskind-Hadas showed that $|C| \geq 2|N| - 2$ is the tight lowered on the number of Channels required for Deadlock-Free Whormhole Routing. In this short note, we show a simpler proof of this tight lower bound.

Key Words: *deadlock-free routing, interconnection network, strongly connected digraph*

1 Introduction

In [1], Libeskind-Hadas provided a tight lower bound on the number of channels required by a deterministic or coherent deadlock-free routing algorithm. Given an interconnection network represented by a directed graph or a digraph $G = (N, C)$, where each vertex in N represents a node and each directed edge in C represents a unidirectional channel, Libeskind-Hadas showed that $|C| \geq 2|N| - 2$ is the tight lower bound on the number of channels required for deadlock-free wormhole routing. Note that a digraph may contain self-loops and multiple edges from one vertex to another, although a digraph for an interconnection network normally does not contain self-loops.

In this short note, we first review some basic concepts, present the problem, and finally provide a simpler proof of a major result in [1]. Given a digraph, two vertices, u and v, are said to be *strongly connected* if there exist directed paths from u to v and from v to u. $e = (u, v)$ represents a directed edge from u to v. The existence of a deterministic or coherent adaptive deadlock-free wormhole routing in a given interconnection network $G = (N, C)$ is based on the following two requirements:

1. *Strongly connected requirement*: $G = (N, C)$ is strongly connected.
2. *Strictly decreasing path requirement*: There exists a deadlock-free labeling function $f : C \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., |C|\}$ such that for every pair of vertices u and v in G, there exists a path $p = v_0, v_1, ..., v_k$ such that $v_0 = u$ and $v_k = v$, and $f(v_{i-1}, v_i) > f(v_{j-1}, v_j)$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq k$.

Throughout, $n = |N|$ represents the number of vertices in $G = (N, C)$, and $|C(G)|$ or simply $|C|$ represents the number of edges in G. For any vertex $v \in N$, we use $out(v) = \{(v, w) : w \in N\}$ to denote the set of edges outgoing from vertex v, and use $in(v) = \{(u, v) : u \in N\}$ to denote the set of edges incoming to vertex v. Given a labeling function $f : C \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., |C|\}$, for each vertex $v \in N$, let *max-out-label* of vertex v be $o(v) = \max\{f(e) : e \in out(v)\}$, and let *min-in-label* of vertex v be $i(v) = \min\{f(e) : e \in in(v)\}$. A directed path from u to v, with strictly decreasing labels (given by labeling function f) on the edges, is called a *strictly decreasing path* from u to v (with respect to f). Note that the labeling function does not need to be a one-to-one function. That is, it is possible that $f(e_1) = f(e_2)$ for $e_1 \neq e_2$.

Lemma 1 *Let $G = (N, C)$ be a strongly connected digraph with n vertices, with $g : C \to \{1, 2, \cdots, |C|\}$ being a one-to-one labeling function on the edges. If $min\{o(v) : v \in N\} \geq n$, then $|C| \geq 2n - 1$.*

Proof. Notice that for two different vertices u, v, $o(u) \neq o(v)$ because $out(u) \cap out(v) = \emptyset$. Hence, $\{o(v) : v \in N\}$ is a set of n distinct numbers, with each number being greater than or equal to n. Therefore, there exists a vertex v^* such that $o(v^*) = max\{o(v) : v \in N\} \geq 2n - 1$, which implies that $|C| \geq 2n - 1$. □

We now present a major result and then the result in [1].

Theorem 2 *Let $G = (N, C)$ be a strongly connected digraph with n vertices. Suppose there is a one-to-one labeling function $g : C \to \{1, 2, \cdots, |C|\}$ on the edges of G such that for every pair of vertices u, v, there is a directed and strictly decreasing path from u to v. Then, $|C| \geq 2n - 2$.*

Proof. Use vertex t to denote the vertex with the *maximum min-in-label*. That is, $i(t) = \max\{i(v) : v \in C\}$. Then, $i(t) \geq n$ because $i(t)$ is the maximum over n distinct numbers. Since there is a strictly decreasing path from any other vertex to t in G, we can construct a spanning subgraph G_t of G rooted to t as follows: For every vertex $v \neq t$, find a directed and strictly decreasing path from v to t, and put all the edges on the path into G_t.

Analogously, use s to denote the vertex with the *minimum max-out-label*. we can construct a spanning subgraph G_s of G rooted from s as follows: For every vertex $u \neq s$, find a directed decreasing path from s to u, and put all the edges on the path into G_s.

Based on Lemma 1, we may assume that $o(s) \leq n - 1$ (otherwise, this theorem is proved). Then it is easy to see that $C(G_s) \cap C(G_t) = \emptyset$. For otherwise, there would be an edge $(a, b) \in C(G_s) \cap C(G_t)$. This means that there is a directed and strictly decreasing path $p_1 = s, s_1, \cdots, a, b$ in G_s, and there is a directed and strictly decreasing path $p_2 = a, b, \cdots, t_1, t$ in G_t. Thus, we have

$$n - 1 \geq o(s) \geq g(s, s_1) \geq g(a, b) \geq g(t_1, t) \geq i(t) \geq n$$

which brings a contradiction. Therefore, $|C| \geq |C(G_s)| + |C(G_t)| \geq (n-1)+(n-1) = 2n-2$. □

Theorem 3 [1] *Theorem 2 is still valid when the one-to-one labeling function g is replaced by a general labeling function f.*

Proof. Let $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_{|C|}$ be an order of edges in a nondecreasing order of their edge labels based on f, i.e., $f(e_i) \leq f(e_j)$ for $i < j$. We define a one-to-one labeling function g such that $g(e_i) = i$. It is easy to see that for any $i \neq j$, $f(e_i) < f(e_j)$ implies that $g(e_i) < g(e_j)$. Then, a strict decreasing path in G with respect to f is also a strict decreasing path in G with respect to g. Therefore, for every pair of vertices u, v in G, there is a directed and strictly decreasing path from u to v with respect to g. Based on Theorem 2, $|C| \geq 2n-1$. □

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank R. Libeskind-Hadas for his valuable comments on improving the quality of the paper.

References

[1] R. Libeskind-Hadas, "A Tight Lower Bound on the Number of Channels Required for Deadlock-Free Wormhole Routing," *IEEE Transactions on Computers*, 47, (10), October 1998, 1158 - 1160.