DIMACS Workshop Evaluation:
Semidefinite Programming & Large Scale Discrete Optimizations Workshop
January 7-9, 1999
Princeton University
Princeton NJ

In the interests of increasing the value of DIMACS workshops, we would appreciate it if you could take a few minutes to fill out this questionaire.
Scientific Value:

1. Were the goals of the workshop clear?

   (Yes) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (No)

2. Did the workshop achieve its goals?

   (Yes) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (No)

3. How would you rate the success of Thurs. morning talks by Speakers: Wolkowicz, Anstreicher, Monteiro, de Klerk, Hager, & Benson:

   (High) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (Low)

4. How would you rate the success of Thurs. afternoon talks by Speakers: Bertsimas, Overton, Freund, Muramatsu, Kalantari, Rendl, & Renegar:

   (High) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (Low)

5. How would you rate the success of Friday morning talks by Speakers: Todd, Faybusovich, Roos, Guler, Zibulevsky, & Potra:

   (High) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (Low)

6. How would you rate the success of Friday afternoon talks by Speakers: Luo, Zhang, Kovacevic-Vujcic, Xue, Tsuchiya, & Tseng:

   (High) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (Low)

7. How would you rate the success of Saturday morning talks by Speakers: Williamson, Scheinberg, Alizadeh, Schmieta, Vanderbei, & Terlaky:

   (High) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (Low)

8. How would you rate the success of Saturday afternoon talks by Speakers: Tuncel, Zhang, Porkolab, Jarre, & Ye:

   (High) ____ ____ ____ ____ ____ (Low)

9. Can you describe how you expect the workshop to impact on your work?

   ____

   ____

10. Would you attend another workshop at DIMACS? yes ____ no ____ On a follow-up to this same topic? yes ____ no ____ Other follow-up areas that might be explored?

11. Do you have suggestions to improve the value of sessions or the workshops scientific program?

12. Did you make any useful contacts with other (circle as many as apply) graduate student(s), postdoc(s), researcher(s)? yes ____ no ____

13. Are you anticipating collaborating with any of the contacts you made at the workshop on any papers or research? yes ____ no ____

    Comments:

14. About you? What best describes your position? Student ____ University Faculty ____ College Faculty ____ Industry ____ Government Laboratory ____

    Other

    Optional: Race____________ male ____ female ____

    Primary scientific interest area: ____________

15. OPTIONAL: If you would like to discuss the workshop further, please leave your name, phone number or email address and we will contact you.

Workshop Arrangements:

1. How did you hear about the workshop? (WWW, organizer contact, mailing lists (theory-net or ????, other? Please be as specific as possible.

2. Was the publicity adequate for you?

3. What sort of department are you in? EE, CS, Math, OR, ...

4. Were there other (publicity) places that we should have been publicizing the workshop that were not used?

5. Was pre-workshop infomation about the program and registration helpful?

6. Was pre-workshop information about travel to this area useful?

7. Was hotel and lodging information correct and useful?

8. Were hotel accommodations all right? Was the hotel clean and comfortable? What hotel did you stay at?

9. Were meals and coffee breaks suitable (types and quality of food)?

10. How helpful were the staff during the meeting?

11. Do you have suggestions to improve local arrangements for DIMACS workshops?

12. About you: If you would like to be contacted to discuss arrangements, please give your name, phone number or email.