# Optimal Block-Decodable Encoders for Constrained Systems

### Panu Chaichanavong

Center for Magnetic Recording Research University of California, San Diego

### **Brian Marcus**

Department of Mathematics University of British Columbia

March 23, 2004

# Outline

- Constrained systems and finite-state encoders
- Block-decodable encoder and its relatives
- Sets of principal states
- Complexity of determining the optimal rate
- Encoder construction
- Asymptotic analysis of optimal code rate and sets of principal states

# **Constrained Systems and Their Presentations**

- G: labeled graph (with vertex set  $V = V_G$ )
- S = S(G): constrained system, set of all words obtained from reading labels of paths of G
- Say that  ${\cal G}$  is a  ${\bf presentation}$  of  ${\cal S}$

deterministic graph:

at each state, all outgoing edges have distinct labels

 $A = A_G$ : adjacency matrix,  $|V| \times |V|$  matrix defined by  $A_{u,v}$  = number of edges from u to v



S(G) = set of all words that do not contain 00



nondeterministic graph

$$A_G = \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

 $\mathsf{RLL}(d,k)$  and Asymmetric- $\mathsf{RLL}(d_0,k_0,d_1,k_1)$ 

**Runlength limited RLL(d, k)** 



- $d \leq \text{run of zeros} \leq k$
- employed in CDs, DVDs, and magnetic tapes

Asymmetric-RLL $(d_0, k_0, d_1, k_1)$ 



- $d_0 \leq \text{run of zeros} \leq k_0$
- $d_1 \leq \text{run of ones} \leq k_1$
- employed in optical recording systems

### **Finite-state encoders**

An (S, n) encoder is a graph that

- has a constant out-degree n, i.e., each state has n outgoing edges
- has two types of labeling: input and output, where
  - the input alphabet size is n
  - at each state, the input labels of the outgoing edges are distinct
  - the output labeling satisfies the constraint S
- can be "decoded"



A finite-state encoder

Usually we want to construct an encoder whose edge labels are words of some length q. These words are called **codewords** and the length is called **block length**.

Let G be a graph. The q th power of G, denoted  $G^q$ , is the labeled graph with the same set of states as G, but one edge for each path of length q in G



If S = S(G), define  $S^q = S(G^q)$ . Then we construct an encoder for  $S^q$ . If A is the adjacency matrix of G, then the adjacency matrix of  $G^q$  is  $A^q$  An  $(S^3, 2)$  encoder



An  $(S^3, 2)$  encoder

The **code rate** of an  $(S^q, n)$  encoder is defined to be  $\frac{\log n}{q}$ 

The capacity of a constraint S, denoted cap(S) is defined:  $\lim_{n\to\infty}(1/q)\log_2(N(q;S)).$ 

Shannon:  $\frac{\log n}{q} \leq \operatorname{cap}(S)$ 

**GOAL:** For a given constraint, block length q and encoder class, determine optimal rate, equivalently optimal n.

A **block-decodable encoder** is a finite-state encoder such that the input label of any edge e can be uniquely determined from the output label of e



A **deterministic encoder** is a finite-state encoder whose output labeling is deterministic, i.e., at each state, all outgoing edges have distinct output labels

A **block encoder** is a finite-state encoder such that there is a 1-1 mapping between input labels and output labels

**Fact 1** Block  $\Rightarrow$  Block-decodable  $\Rightarrow$  Deterministic

**Theorem 1** ([Freiman and Wyner, 1964], [Franaszek, 1968], [Marcus et al., 1998]) Let S be a constraint presented by a deterministic graph G. For each class of encoder  $C \in \{blk, blkdec, det\}$ , there exists an (S, n) encoder in class C if and only if there exists such an encoder which is a subgraph of G.



An example: the asymmetric RLL (2, 5, 1, 3)



#### The capacity is 0.7112

	optimal code rate					
block length	block	block-decodable		deterministic		
		(lower bound)	(upper bound)	uelenninslic		
6	0.4308	0.5283	0.6346	0.6346		
10	0.5585	0.6524	0.6615	0.6714		
15	0.6088	0.6774	0.6779	0.6844		
20	0.6343	0.6862	0.6862	0.6910		
30	0.6599	0.6946	0.6946	0.6978		

# **Construction of** {blk, blkdec, det} **encoder**



(1) Start with a deterministic presentation G of the desired constraint S

(2) Compute the qth power of G, denoted  $G^q$ 

(3) Choose a subgraph of G<sup>q</sup> to be used as encoder
(4) Assign input labels

# Set of principal states

Step (3) can be broken into two steps:

- (a) First choose a set of states of G, called a **set of principal states**, to be used as encoder states.
- (b) Then choose edges.

#### **Deterministic encoders:**

Franaszek [Franaszek, 1968] gave a very efficient algorithm to choose an optimal set of principal states and a corresponding subgraph for the class of deterministic encoder.

#### **Block encoders:**

Given a set of principal states, Freiman and Wyner [Freiman and Wyner, 1964] presented an algorithm, based on generating functions, to find a optimal block encoder. They also gave a way to limit the choices of set of principal states that need to be considered. Marcus, Siegel, and Wolf [Marcus et al., 1992] further improved the efficiency.

#### **Block-decodable encoders:**

No general algorithm known. Must rely on heuristic and approximation.

For some classes of constraints, this problem coincides with the case of deterministic encoders [Franaszek, 1970, Chaichanavong and Marcus, 2003].

# **Complexity of determining the optimal code rate**

S: constrained system with deterministic presentation G .

n: integer

For each class C of encoder, consider complexity of three problems:

(1) Determining whether there exists (S, n) encoder in class C which is a subgraph of G(2) Same as (1) but require that the set of principal states  $P = V_G$ (3)  $|V_G|$  fixed

The following table summarizes the results in [Franaszek, 1968, Ashley et al., 1996, Chaichanavong, 2003].

encoder class	subgraph encoder problem	$P = V_G$	$ V_G $ fixed
deterministic	polynomial	polynomial	polynomial
block	NP-complete (polynomial for any fixed <i>n</i> )	polynomial	polynomial
block-decodable	NP-complete for fixed $n \ge 2$	NP-complete for fixed $n \ge 2$	polynomial

### **Some notations**

S: a constrained system G: a deterministic presentation of S  $V_G$ : the set of states of G  $C \in \{blk, blkdec, det\}$ : a class of encoder

Define the following two quantities

 $M_{\mathcal{C}}(q,P)$ : maximum n such that there exists an  $(S^q,n)$  encoder in class  $\mathcal{C}$  constructed from the set of principal states P

Thus the optimal code rate is

$$\max_{P \subseteq V_G} \frac{\log M_{\mathcal{C}}(q, P)}{q}$$

Note:  $M_{\mathsf{blk}}(q, P) \leq M_{\mathsf{blkdec}}(q, P) \leq M_{\mathsf{det}}(q, P)$ 

### **Deterministic Encoders**

**Computing**  $M_{det}(q, P)$ : Let G be a deterministic graph with  $V_G = \{a, b, c, d\}$ ; pick  $P = \{a, b, d\}$ 

$$A^{q} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & \Rightarrow & 13 \\ b & \Rightarrow & 14 \\ c & & \\ d & \Rightarrow & 11 \end{bmatrix} M_{det}(q, P) = \min\{13, 14, 11\} = 11$$

In general,

$$M_{\mathsf{det}}(q, P) = \min_{u \in P} \sum_{v \in P} A_{u,v}^q$$

This is the same as multiplying  $A^q$  by the **characteristic vector**  $\mathbf{x}$  of P:

$$A^{q}\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 5 & 2 & 4 \\ 6 & 4 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 & 3 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \\ 7 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} \ge \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 11 \\ 0 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} = 11\mathbf{x}$$

Determining whether  $M_{det}(q) \ge n$  is equivalent to determining whether there exists a 0-1 vector x, not all 0, such that  $A^q x \ge nx$ .

This can be solved by the Franaszek algorithm (Franaszek 1968):

$$x^{(0)} = [1, \dots, 1]^T$$
$$x^{(\ell+1)} = \min(x^{(\ell)}, \lfloor A^q x^{(\ell)} / n \rfloor)$$

By varying n, we can determine  $M_{det}(q)$ 

### **Block encoders**



Theorem 2

$$M_{\mathsf{blk}}(q,P) = \sum_{U \subseteq P} \bar{A}_{P,U}^q$$

#### G: deterministic graph

Find a block-decodable encoder that is a subgraph of G and has the same set of states as  ${\cal G}$ 

#### Input label assignment algorithm:

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{let}\ \Psi \leftarrow \mathsf{set}\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathsf{all}\ \mathsf{codewords}\ \mathsf{of}\ G\\ \mathsf{set}\ \tau \leftarrow 1\\ \textbf{while}\ (\mathsf{it}\ \mathsf{is}\ \mathsf{possible}\ \mathsf{to}\ \mathsf{choose}\ \mathsf{a}\ \mathsf{set}\ \mathsf{of}\ \mathsf{codewords}\ \psi = \{w_1,\ldots,w_k\} \subseteq \Psi\\ & \mathsf{such}\ \mathsf{that}\ \mathsf{each}\ \mathsf{state}\ \mathsf{of}\ G\ \mathsf{can}\ \mathsf{generate}\ \mathsf{at}\ \mathsf{least}\ \mathsf{one}\ w_i)\\ & \mathbf{do}\ \mathsf{assign}\ \mathsf{input}\ \mathsf{label}\ \tau\ \mathsf{to}\ \mathsf{each}\ \mathsf{word}\ \mathsf{in}\ \psi\\ & \tau \leftarrow \tau + 1\\ & \Psi \leftarrow \Psi \setminus \psi \end{array}
```

### An example of input label assignment



Pick  $P = \{I, J, K\}$ ; Want to compute  $M_{blkdec}(1, P)$ 

Partition codewords into classes according to initial states

For each input, choose a combination of regions such that their union is P

There are 8 such combinations; each combination is denoted by a 0-1 vector z of size  $2^{|P|} - 1 = 7$ , where  $z_U = 1$  if U is in the combination and 0 otherwise

#### Input label assignment as an integer program



 $c_i$ : number of times that we choose combination i

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{maximize} \quad c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_8 \\ \text{subject to} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix} c_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2) \ c_i \ge 0, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \end{array} \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ c_3 \\ c_4 \\ c_5 \\ c_6 \\ c_7 \\ c_8 \end{array} \right] \le \left[ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \in \{I\} \\ \notin \{J\} \\ \notin \{I,J\} \\ \notin \{I,J\} \\ \notin \{I,K\} \\ \notin \{I,J,K\} \\ \notin \{I,J,K\} \end{array} \right] \right]$ 

### Input label assignment as an integer program

Rewrite the problem:

```
maximize c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_t
```

subject to (1)  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , (2)  $c_i \ge 0$ , (3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t} c_i \mathbf{z}_i \le \mathbf{x}(q, P)$$

t: number of combinations

 $\mathbf{x}(q, P)$ : sizes of regions, can be computed from  $\bar{A}^q$ 

Indeed,  $M_{\mathsf{blkdec}}(q, P) = \max c_1 + \cdots + c_t$ 

Remove condition (1) to get a linear programming problem. Solve the relaxed problem and round the solution to integers.

# Irreducibility and Primitivity

#### Irreducible graph:

for any pair u, v of states, there is a path from u to v and v to u

**Primitive graph:** there exists an integer N such that

for all u and v, there are paths from u to v and v to u of length N

**Fact 2** Primitive  $\Rightarrow$  Irreducible



A constraint is **irreducible** if it has an irreducible presentation A matrix is **irreducible** if it is the adjacency matrix of an irreducible graph

Primitive constraint and matrix are defined similarly

From now on, assume primitivity

The capacity of a constraint  ${\cal S}$  with a deterministic presentation  ${\cal G}$  is

 $\operatorname{cap}(S) = \log \lambda,$ 

where  $\lambda$  is the largest positive eigenvalue of  $A_G$ .

**Theorem 3 ([Shannon, 1948])** Let S be a constrained system presented by a deterministic graph G. Let  $P \subseteq V_G$ . For any class  $\mathcal{C}$  of encoder,

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{\log M_{\mathcal{C}}(q, P)}{q} = \operatorname{cap}(S).$$

Expect  $M_{\mathcal{C}}(q, P)$  to grow as  $\lambda^q$ 

Define the **asymptotic rate** 

$$M^{\infty}_{\mathcal{C}}(P) = \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{M_{\mathcal{C}}(q, P)}{\lambda^q}$$

A set of principal states that maximizes the asymptotic rate is called **asymptotically optimal.** 

**Proposition 1** For sufficiently large block length, every optimal set of principal states is asymptotically optimal.



**Perron-Frobenius Theory** for primitive matrix A:

- A has a unique largest positive eigenvalue  $\lambda = \lambda(A)$ .
- The right (r) and left (l) eigenvectors associated with  $\lambda$  are positive.
- Suppose r and l are normalized so that lr = 1, define  $\Lambda = rl$ . Then

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{A^q}{\lambda^q} = \Lambda$$

Recall:

$$M_{\mathsf{det}}(q, P) = \min_{u \in P} \sum_{v \in P} A_{u,v}^q$$

From the Perron-Frobenius Theory, we have the following.

Theorem 4

$$M^{\infty}_{\det}(P) = \min_{u \in P} \sum_{v \in P} \Lambda_{u,v}$$

### **Asymptotic Results for Deterministic Encoders**

For each class C, define

$$\epsilon_{\mathcal{C}} = \max_{P \subseteq V_G} M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty}(P) - \text{second largest } M_{\mathcal{C}}^{\infty}(P).$$

Theorem 5 If

$$\left|\frac{A^q}{\lambda^q} - \Lambda\right| \bigg|_{\infty} < \frac{\epsilon_{\mathsf{det}}}{2}$$

then any optimal set of principal states at block length q is asymptotically optimal.



Recall

$$M_{\mathsf{blk}}(q,P) = \sum_{U \subseteq P} \bar{A}_{P,U}^q$$

**Property of**  $\bar{A}$ :  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $\bar{A}$ . Suppose the right  $(\bar{\mathbf{r}})$  and left  $(\bar{\mathbf{l}})$  eigenvectors of  $\bar{A}$  associated with  $\lambda$  are normalized so that  $\bar{\mathbf{lr}} = 1$ , define  $\bar{\Lambda} = \bar{\mathbf{rl}}$ . Then

$$\lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{A^q}{\lambda^q} = \bar{\Lambda}$$

Theorem 6

$$M^{\infty}_{\mathsf{blk}}(P) = \bar{\mathbf{r}}_P \sum_{u \in P} \bar{\mathbf{l}}_{\{u\}}.$$

Theorem 7 If

$$\left\|\frac{\bar{A}^q}{\lambda^q} - \bar{\Lambda}\right\|_\infty < \frac{\epsilon_{\mathsf{blk}}}{2}$$

then any optimal set of principal states at block length q for block encoders is asymptotically optimal.

### Asymptotic results for block-decodable encoders

Recall

$$M_{\mathsf{blkdec}}(q, P) = \max \ c_1 + c_2 + \dots + c_t$$

subject to (1)  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , (2)  $c_i \ge 0$ , (3)  $\sum_{i=1}^t c_i \mathbf{z}_i \le \mathbf{x}(q, P),$ 

where  $\mathbf{z}_i$  depends only on P and  $\mathbf{x}(q, P)$  can be computed from  $\overline{A}^q$ .

Remove condition (1) to get a linear programming problem.

View the maximum of the objective function of the relaxed problem as a function  $\mu(\mathbf{x}(q,P)).$ 

Lemma 1

$$M_{\mathsf{blkdec}}(q, P) \le \mu(\mathbf{x}(q, P)) \le M_{\mathsf{blkdec}}(q, P) + t$$

### Asymptotic results for block-decodable encoders

Define  $\mathbf{x}^{\infty}(P) = \lim_{q \to \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda^q} \mathbf{x}(q, P)$ 

From the convergence of  $\frac{\bar{A}^q}{\lambda^q}$  to  $\bar{\Lambda}$ , we can show that  $\mathbf{x}^{\infty}(P)$  exists and can be computed from  $\bar{\Lambda}$ 

Theorem 8

$$M^{\infty}_{\mathsf{blkdec}}(P) = \mu(\mathbf{x}^{\infty}(P)).$$

Let

$$\rho(G,q) = (2^{|V_G|} - 1) \sum_{U,V} \left| \left( \frac{\bar{A}^q}{\lambda^q} \right)_{U,V} - \bar{\Lambda}_{U,V} \right| + \frac{\text{explicit constant}}{\lambda^q}$$

**Theorem 9** If  $\rho(G,q) < \frac{\epsilon_{\text{blkdec}}}{2}$ , then any optimal set of principal states at block length q for block-decodable encoders is asymptotically optimal.

# An example: the asymmetric RLL (2, 5, 1, 3)



encoder class $\mathcal{C}$	optimal P	$\max_P M^{\infty}_{\mathcal{C}}(P)$	bound on $q$	known stable $q$
deterministic	$\{1, 2, 3, 4, \bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$	0.7563	17	1
block	$\{2,3,ar{1}\},\{2,ar{1},ar{2}\}$	0.3445	21	6
block decodable	$\{1,2,3,ar{1},ar{2}\}$	0.7076	54	12

- We have investigated three classes of encoders: block, block-decodable, and deterministic.
- Finding an optimal deterministic encoder is easiest. Finding an optimal block-decodable encoder is most complex.
- Given a set of principal states, finding an optimal block-decodable encoder can be formulated as an integer program. The integer program can be relaxed to find a good bound on the rate of an optimal block-decodable encoder.
- We have established a relationship between optimal sets of principal states at finite and asymptotically large block length. The asymptotic results hold for all  $q \ge q_0$  for some *small*  $q_0$ .
- Integer program can be relaxed to find the asymptotic rate of an optimal block-decodable encoder.
- Coming Attraction: Integer program can be adapted to bounded-delayencodable block-decodable encoders (Chaichanavong, ISIT04)

#### References

- [Ashley et al., 1996] Ashley, J. J., Karabed, R., and Siegel, P. H. (1996). Complexity and sliding-block decodability. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 42(6):1925–1947.
- [Chaichanavong, 2003] Chaichanavong, P. (2003). *Block-type-decodable encoders for constrained systems*. PhD thesis, Stanford University.
- [Chaichanavong and Marcus, 2003] Chaichanavong, P. and Marcus, B. H. (2003). Optimal block-type-decodable encoders for constrained systems. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 49(5):1231–1250.
- [Franaszek, 1968] Franaszek, P. A. (1968). Sequence-state coding for digital transmission. *Bell Sys. Tech. J.*, 47:143–155.
- [Franaszek, 1970] Franaszek, P. A. (1970). Sequence-state methods for runlength-limited coding. *IBM J. Res. Develop.*, 14(4):376–383.
- [Freiman and Wyner, 1964] Freiman, C. V. and Wyner, A. D. (1964). Optimum block codes for noiseless input restricted channels. *Inform.*

*Contr.*, 7:398–415.

- [Marcus et al., 1998] Marcus, B. H., Roth, R. M., and Siegel, P. H. (1998). Handbook of Coding Theory, chapter 20. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- [Marcus et al., 1992] Marcus, B. H., Siegel, P. H., and Wolf, J. K. (1992). Finite-state modulation codes for data storage. *IEEE J. Select. Areas Commun.*, 10:5–37.
- [Shannon, 1948] Shannon, C. E. (1948). The mathematical theory of communication. *Bell Sys. Tech. J.*, 27:379–423.